

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/803,191	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BRIDGELALL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Phung T. Nguyen	<b>Art Unit</b> 2632	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Phung T. Nguyen.

 (2) Erin P. Madill No. 46,893.
**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 February 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

None

**Claims discussed:**

27

**Prior art documents discussed:**

None

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Applicant authorized the attached Examiner amendment to place application in condition for allowance. See the attached Examiner amendment.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)